Questions sent to Counsel on 3 February 2025 via email and mail

19 February 2025 no response to date

-----

## **Research Questions**

# Regarding your Representation of Banking Inquiry Whistleblower

Dear	Ms Hxxxxx

We hope this message finds you well.

We are currently researching your role in representing the Banking Inquiry Whistleblower, Ms XXXXXXXX, on behalf of a production company and a number of matters have come to our attention. We are very keen to understand the complexities of this case generally and particularly from a legal perspective. To assist us in our research, we would appreciate your answers and insights on the following questions:

#### 1. General

- (a) When were you first engaged by the relevant Solicitor's firm to represent Ms XXXXXXXX and
- (b) when and why did you withdraw from the case?
- (c) Ms Xxxxxxx alleges that you did not take on any independent investigations to verify the claims made by Ms Xxxxxxx prior to taking the case, as you were on notice that former Governor Honohan had deemed her Protected Disclosures credible and that all times you were of the view that all of Ms Xxxxxxxx Protected Disclosures were credible. Is that correct?

We have seen no evidence in the file to suggest that you challenged the veracity of the Protected Disclosures and it seems that you believed that the Protected Disclosures were completely credible.

• In which case, how much did you know personally about the underlying frauds that were concealed by the Banking Inquiry?

#### 2. Drafting Delays

- (a) Please explain why it took almost two years for you to draft the Summons?
- (b) During the drafting, how did you come to overlook that Ms XXXXXX was identified as a **female** lawyer and not just a lawyer in Senan Allen's Report?
- (c) Were you acting under the instructions of Senior Counsel or any other individual(s) to delay the drafting process?

(d) Do you believe that you were you acting in the best interests of your client at all times when tasked with basic legal work?

#### 3. Undisclosed Conflicts of Interest (Personal & Professional)

- a) Did you encounter any conflicts of interest in representing Ms Xxxxxxx?
- b) Information was evidenced in a public magazine that you were attending paid funds raisers for Fine Gael and provided to her by a colleague.

Please comment.

a) Ms XXXXXXX has evidenced correspondence from you in which you regarded her query about this obvious conflict as her trying to access "personal information" from you.

Please explain your understanding of a professional conflict of interest.

- (d) How many Fine Gael fundraisers did you attend from the time you began working on this case until you withdrew from the case?
  - (d) What are your current connnections to Fine Gael?
  - (e) Do you have family members who are members of Fine Gael or who have represented Fine Gael members?
  - (f) How long has there been a relationship between your family and Fine Gael?

Given the fact that Wr Enda Kenny. Fine Gael, was Taoiseach as the time of the Bank Inquiry and Senan Aller bragged about access to his bathroom provides the basis for many of these conflict-of-interest questions

- Did Senan Allen to your knowledge ever act as a Barrister representing Wrs (Enda) Kenny before his role in the bank inquiry?
- (f) Did you have any prior relationships with the organization or individuals implicated in Ms Xxxxxxxx Protected Disclosures?
- (g) How do you typically manage the balance between advocating for a client and ensuring ethical representation, especially when conflicting interests arise?
- (h) Were there any instances where you felt that your own interests might have been misaligned with those of ws xxxxxxxx, and how did you navigate that or did you simply disregard it?

(i) Can you discuss any actual conflicts of interest that arose during your representation, particularly regarding financial incentives or relationships with other stakeholders?

#### 4. Witnesses

- (a) What is your view of the concealment of supportive witnesses from Ms
- (b) Did SC, were instruct Solicitor to contact witnesses and take a statement?
- (c) Based on my review of correspondence from xx xxxxxx solicitor no witness statements were taken
  - Why were no witness statements actually taken?
  - Please explain in as much detail as will be helpful.
- (d) What is your view of the Solicitor telling a witness that Ms Xxxxxxx was engaged in a defamation action and did not need witnesses when she was not?
  - This is evidenced.
- 5. State Claims Agency (SCA) Office of the Parliamentary Legal Adviser (OPLA) House of the Oireachtas Service / Commission (HoO)
  - (a) Ms Xxxxxxx has recently been advised that the SCA is issuing instructions to Hayes solicitors in this case?

Is this your understanding?

- (b) If the SCA is issuing instructions to Hayes, why was was was anot informed of this?
- (c) Has anyone from the SCA, OPLA or HoO contacted you regarding this case?
- (d) Has anyone attempted to influence your representation of Ms Xxxxxxx in any way?

## 6. Retaliation against Ms XXXXXXXX

- (a) Please elaborate on the detailed steps you took to ensure your client was protected against retaliation during the litigation process regarding her Protected Disclosures
- (b) Our research unveils that that no action was taken or no assistance offered when Ms XXXXXXXX referred to:
  - (i) unlawful surveillance and intercepted communications;
  - (ii) facing the opposing lawyers wishing to delve into her private life ging back 12 years;
  - (iii) indicating there would be a "trawl through her medical records: (terminology used by \* 12 January 2024) and references to "mental health concerns".

was left to face dealing with these matters entirely alone and even today she is dealing with unlawful surveillance and intercepted communications.

- Our research indicates that Mr JR raised in voice in a June 2023 meeting and said "what do you want me to do" re illegal surveillance
- Evern though you were not in attendance, did Mr JR ever discuss these items with you
- (c) In your view, are the above actions acceptable rather than breaches of Irish legislation the Protected Disclosures Act 2014? Please explain.

## 7. Lack of Interest in Progressing Case

	(a)	seems that this individual is completely correct.
		Please comment.
	(b)	It is clear that Ms Xxxxxxxx Protected Disclosures regarding the Central Bank of Ireland are substantiated.
		Why did you not use this publicly available evidence to resolve this case or advance to trial as

## 10. Concealing Witnesses / Not Taking Witness Statements

(a) Why did solicitor conceal at least two witnesses from <a href="https://www.conceal.org/least-two-witnesses">www.conceal.org/least-two-witnesses from <a href="https://www.conceal.org/least-two-witnesses">www.conceal.org/least-two-witnesses</a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a></a>
(b) In one meeting in June 2023, Mr O'R denied over ten times about meeting Mr xxxxxx x xxxxxx.
You were not in attendance, but Wr JR seemed nonplussed by the whole thing, which was very strange as his Solicitor (who "does nothing without instructions from John") lied repeatedly.
<b>FHOR</b> subsequently acknowledged this witness after a sworn affidavit was produced by Mr O Hanlon.
(c) In a role reversal with you as a client, what would be your response to these nefarious activities?
(d) Are you aware of any other witnesses that were concealed from
(e) FHOR Solicitor spoke with a further witness who wished to support with a further witness who wished to support with a further witness who wished to support witnesses and fine falsely stated that witnesses and fine falsely stated that witnesses and fine falsely stated that witnesses and falsely stated that witnesses and falsely stated that witnesses are this is evidenced by a telephone recording.
Why do you believe that <b>EHOR</b> would lie to a proposed witness in this case?
- Was FHOR under instruction to do so from you or from Mr Rogers SC?
(g) So another witness was excluded and <b>FHOR</b> would not take a statement from him that would have further supported MS Protected Disclosures about the Central Bank of Ireland?
(h) <b>FHOR</b> was concealing and lying about witnesses while <b>Mr Rogers</b> reaction to <b>Mrxxxxxx</b> public support was highly peculiar and bordering on bizarre, stating that <b>Mrxxxxxx</b> was not entitled to write to "high ranking officials".
This struck Ms Xxxxxxx as very strange as surely any Irish citizen is entitled to write to any one they should choose to write to.
Furthermore, FHOR has said "he does nothing without Mr Rogers approval".
(i) Why was the entire legal team covering up witnesses that further supported Ms Xxxxxxx Protected Disclosures?

- a. Even Solicitors, 12 January 2024 indicated there was an issue with identifying and taking testimony from witnessed (paraphrasing, but happy to share the exact phrase)
- (j) It has to be asked, especially given the concerns raised by xx, were you all colluding with the Defendant to ensure that the Central Bank (and the fraudulent activities that were concealed during the Banking Inquiry) was protected at all costs, just as Wr Senan Aller had been instructed to do?
- (k) Would you agree that Ms XXXXXXX litigation was to have a predetermined outcome which involved her having "gotten on in years" she would capitulate regarding the fabricated Senan Allen Report?
- (I) Have you been involved in any other cases (recent or otherwise) that have similarly required a predetermined outcome for the Irish establishment?
- (m) What is your opinion on Mr Rogers SC issuing warnings to Ms xxxxxxx not to speak with other whistleblowers?
  - a. What was the rationale behind this?
  - b. Do you believe that Wr Rogers SC was instructed to say this in the event Ws XXXXXXXX would come to learn about the extent of the Ulster Bank and other frauds?
  - c. Who was monitoring Ms XXXXXXXX new twitter account that she only created in May 2022

#### 11. Mediation historical delays

An offer of mediation to resolve the litigation came in July 2019, but the mediation conference was delayed until 16 May 2022.

(a) Please explain in as much detail as possible the reasons for this delay of 2 years and 10 months (34 months).

In considering delays, we have conducted research that has shown that CoVID 19 had a minimal bearing on delaying mediations and litigation as hearings and conferences were regularly conducted virtually.

- (c) We have conducted detailed research on these matters.

These include (non-exhaustive)

Peter Finnegan, Dáil Clerk
Melissa English, Office of the Parliamentary Legal Adviser
Joe O'Malley, Managing Partner, Hayes solicitors;
Michael Kelly, Solicitor, Hayes solicitors
Marguerite Bolger (then SC – now Ms Justice Bolger)
Joe Jeffers (then BL now SC)
Oonagh McCrann SC

- (d) If so, what was the nature of those discussions?
- (e) Did you attend the planning call in January 2022 for the mediation?
- (f) Why was Ms XXXXXXX not informed of this meeting in advance or since it happened?
- (g) As Vs XXXXXXIIII has no correspondence / communications related to that meeting, which in our research suggests collusion among all parties, please feel free to share as much information as you would like regarding that "secret meeting"?

#### 12. Mediation specific

- (a) Do you understand that mediation is not permitted to be invoked with a view to concealing frauds and that this is settled law?
- (b) Why was the law not explained in detail to Ws XXXXXXII in order that she could have made an informed decision?
- (c) When Wr O'D stated that the Senan Allen Report was required to remain on the government record why, when you knew and your colleagues knew that the Report was fabricated, did you not interject given your obligations to the wider public interest?
- (d) How many people from the Defendant's team attended the mediation?
- (e) Are you in a position to name them so that we can forward them our own questions?

#### 13. Concealed Bank Frauds

- (a) What is your own knowledge of the widespread banking frauds that were concealed by the Banking Inquiry?
- (b) Were (are) you personally aware that Ulster Bank has been fraudulently selling derivative products to trigger loan to value breaches?
- (c) From your frequent attendance in the Irish Courts, did you or do you have personal knowledge that the assets of Ulster Bank were being funnelled back to the Bank or its delegates despite the customers having been defrauded?
- (d) Do you know how the Courts manage to work out which Judges would be assigned these cases and which ones would not?

### 14. Timing

- What would you say if we told you that from the time you and Mr Rogers SC were engaged to take on this case in 2015 that it took over 7 years to arrive at a mediation conference?
- Is this a typical timeframe for other cases in which you have represented litigants or is this an outlier?

## 15. Orchestrated Plan? - Damaging Effect on Client

(a) Ms XXXXXXX file of papers has now been placed out of reach by a subsequent solicitor who was influenced to terminate instructions by the State.

What is your opinion on this?

- (b) Does this not point to a very deliberate and orchestrated plan to obstruct access to justice, a plan in which (in our opinion from review of evidence) one could also assume you seem to have participated in for 8 years?
- (c) Finally, how would you evaluate today the impact of your eight year representation on Ms XXXXXXX's career and on her personal life?

Your insights will be invaluable to our project, and we greatly appreciate your time and consideration. Your right of reply is important to the production company and we reserve the right to publish our queries and your response in conjunction with the release of the documentary and as mentioned in our cover note if you wish to appear on camera we will share that information with the team. Thank you for your attention to this matter.