

A.I. Review of the Senan Allen Report

3. Does the report show any evidence that he reviewed how the conflicts of interest protocols were robustly handled?

Answer: No.

His review of this critical issue is superficial and relies entirely on accepting the official narrative.

- **Acceptance Without Verification:** In Chapter 10, Allen discusses Lorraine's allegation of an unmanaged conflict of interest concerning an investigator with prior links to a "Participant" (an institution being investigated). Allen's "investigation" consists of interviewing the managers and the investigator in question and accepting their explanation that there was no conflict (Para 10.18, 10.21, 10.23).
- **Lack of Process Analysis:** He does not provide any evidence that he examined the actual *process*. He does not mention reviewing the Inquiry's formal conflict of interest protocol, the declaration forms submitted by the investigator, or the minutes of any meeting where the conflict was formally discussed and cleared. He simply concludes the procedures were "robust and... correctly applied" (Para 1.19) without showing any proof.