

IRELAND DEFRAUDED  
10 Stephens St, PO Box 106  
Andover, MA. 01810, USA

Sent by email:xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

9 December 2025

For the attention of:

Mr xxxxxx xxxxxxx  
Managing Partner  
xxxxxxxx LLP  
xxxxxxxxx xxxx  
xxxxxxxxxx  
Dublin 2  
Ireland

cc:      xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

**Re: Formal Inquiry related to Anonymous Online Allegation Concerning a Sitting Member of the Irish High Court related to Routine Attendance at their Former Law Firm Post High Court Sittings**

Dear Mr xxxxxx

We are contacting you in connection with a documentary currently being produced on banking frauds in Ireland and related legal issues. The production is examining a number of matters where questions have been raised about the conduct of financial institutions, their legal representatives and the judiciary. We have covered some of these items on our website already: [www.irldefrauded.com](http://www.irldefrauded.com)

An anonymous allegation has appeared online asserting that a particular unnamed judge of the High Court continues to attend at their former law firm's offices following the court's daily sittings and the implication is that this person retains both an **interest in** and an **active role** in the firm's affairs. The same source is further *implying* that this judge is potentially influencing or directing the firm's activities and is perhaps maintaining associations with former clients and former professional associates that are wholly incompatible with judicial office.

We undertook to identify High Court Judges who came from private practice and we have identified certain judges who fit this criteria. A former Partner of xxxxxxxx LLP is one of such judges, namely Mr Justice xxxxx xxxxxx. Given the seriousness of these anonymous claims and their potential implications for both the independence of Ireland's judiciary and the reputation of your firm, it is necessary to seek a formal clarification from you directly.

We have noted also that xxxxxxxx' key clients are listed as AIB Bank plc, former Ulster Bank Ireland DAC, Danske Bank and Pepper Finance Corporation.

We note also that your firm acts for certain of the "alleged delegates" of Ulster Bank Ireland DAC – the various Promontoria entities (Promontoria Oyster DAC by way of example). We have also noted that it is on the public record that Mr Justice xxxxx xxxxxxxxx has adjudicated on cases involving multiple Irish and international banks and/or financial entities holding Irish loan portfolios since his appointment.

We have prepared a list of questions below for your review and comment, as your perspective would help ensure the accuracy and balance of the material that we are developing.

You are therefore requested to:

- (i) Confirm whether Mr Justice xxxxx xxxxx has attended or indeed continues to attend, at Beauchamps offices since his appointment to the High Court in December 2019 for any purpose ***other than occasional and irregular social or personal contact***, and if so, to identify the dates, purposes, and context of such attendances;
- (ii) Confirm whether Mr Justice xxxxx xxxxx has had any direct contact with any of these key clients such as AIB Bank plc, Ulster Bank Ireland DAC (or any alleged delegate), Danske Bank and Pepper Finance Corporation or any other client while in attendance at the offices or with any of the Beauchamps solicitors or consultants handling these clients;
- (iii) Identify whether any of the Beauchamp partners or associates are aware of cases in which Mr Justice xxxxx xxxxx has adjudicated in relation to a matter for any client of Beauchamps including those referenced above and if so, on how many occasions;
- (iv) Identify whether the outcome was favourable for any Beauchamps client in which Mr Justice xxxxx xxxxx adjudicated – and by favourable this is not limited to whether the xxxxxxxx client had a victory – it also encompasses litigation losses that were in fact favourable to the client by limiting the client's exposure to financial loss;
- (v) **Confirm whether xxxxxxxxx currently holds, administers or maintains any financial interest, shareholding, profit entitlement or deferred payment on behalf of Mr Justice xxxxx xxxxxxxx;**
- (vi) Confirm whether any of xxxxx' client matters, internal discussions, or strategic decisions have involved, been referred to, or been influenced by Mr Justice xxxxx xxxxxxxx directly or indirectly since his judicial appointment;
- (vii) Outline what internal measures, protocols, or ethical safeguards are in place to ensure clear separation between your firm and Mr xxxxx xxxxxxxx since his appointment to judicial office and to indicate when such procedures were last reviewed or reaffirmed;
- (viii) Whether xxxxxxxxxx has ever notified the Courts Service, Judicial Council or any regulatory or oversight body of any continuing connections or possible perceived conflicts of interest in relation to Mr Justice xxxxxxx xxxxx;
- (ix) Clarify whether to your knowledge Beauchamps' name has been used by Mr Justice xxxxx xxxxx in any correspondence, professional activity or representation since his appointment to the High Court;

(x) Whether xxxxxxxxx has ever been notified of the fraudulent practices of any Irish regulated bank and their alleged assignees; the matters as set out in the recently issued BankConfidential Report (link immediately following below<sup>1</sup>) and what internal measures, if any, were taken on foot of such notification;

<https://www.appgifffs.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/11/BC-Hidden-Credit-Lines-The-Largest-Fraud-Anywhere-Ever.pdf>

You are requested to provide a written statement of truth addressing each of the above matters and confirming the accuracy and completeness of your firm's position, using the following attestation so that our research is accurate:

“The contents of this statement are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.”

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: xxxx xxxxxx, Managing Partner, xxxxxx LLP

Date: [ ] December 2025

***Your response should be received no later than close of business on 16 December 2025.***

Failure to provide any response within this period and assuming the other relevant law firm(s) provide an adequate response - the non response will be treated as acceptance that the allegation made online relates to Mr Justice xxxxx xxxxx, formerly of xxxxx LLP. At that point to maintain our integrity as an independent source of research we will consider referring externally for investigation to key international organisations monitoring systemic issues related to judicial integrity and anti-corruption standards. We would seek these organisations' input on the various matters raised in order to progress our research for accuracy and accountability.

Yours sincerely,

Martin O'Cianain

---

<sup>1</sup> Also on [www.irldefrauded.com/ulster-bank](http://www.irldefrauded.com/ulster-bank)