

Courts¹

Evidence based comments re certain judges and information on the process:²

- Heavily redacted documentation routinely accepted
- litigants meticulously outlining chain of evidence ignored
- expertly drafted judicial complaints about grave misconduct dismissed by gatekeeper (circa every single complaint)
- inability to access digital audio recordings from certain judges³
- grave concerns about “official transcripts” not corresponding with what occurred in court
- judges adjudicating in respect of former lucrative clients
- judges refusing to recuse when conflicts of interest presented
- judges who previously played roles as barristers on Banking Inquiry (publicly deemed a complete whitewash to protect banks) permitted to adjudicate on banking cases (one allocated cases for years)
- judges declining to issue judgments in timely manner to wear down litigants
- lay litigants being rushed to out of the way courtrooms at last minute to annihilate concept of open administration of justice
- files going missing
- bundles tampered with to remove evidence
- stamped affidavits being substituted with unstampd by opponents to facilitate a judge being able to ignore sworn evidence
- court bundles deliberately prepared to exclude damning evidence of opponent

¹ Copied from a post on X summarizing *Evidenced based comments* on activities in the Irish courts and reformatted by www.irldefrauded.com and “Courts” title also added by Irldefrauded.com

³ How to request a DAR: <https://www.irldefrauded.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/DAR.jpg>

- cases logically to be heard in Dublin involving State & regulatory bodies suddenly moved to Galway / Sligo for no apparent reason, other than perhaps to be cosseted away from court reporters
- doctored email emanating from court services to give impression that the court services had communicated in a timely manner with a lay litigant; while forensics confirm the “doctoring”, our Dept of Justice places this matter back into the hands of the court services
- open allegation that judge routinely returns to former law firm after court sittings and continues to adjudicate in respect of client matters
- bank cases dismissed by honourable judges (no breach of loan contract by borrower) later being revived and pursued by banks simply by appearing in front of a different judge
- Wild West - not just in banking & non-banking regulation. Litigants acting without representation are confronting a judicial environment that is clearly uneven and opaque and where the impartial adjudication of rights appears secondary to institutional convenience or discretion.