

IRLELAND DEFRAUDED  
10 Stephens St, PO Box 106, MA 01810,

Sent by email: [xxxxxxxxx](#)

23 December 2025

Mr xxxxxx xxxxxx  
Managing Partner  
XXXXXXXXXXXXX LLP  
Xx xxxx www xxxx  
Dublin 1, D01 xxxx  
Ireland

**Re: Formal Inquiry related to Anonymous Online Allegation Concerning a Sitting Member of the Irish High Court related to Routine Attendance at their Former Law Firm Post High Court Sitzings**

Dear Mr xxxxxx

I am getting in touch in connection as part of a research team working on a documentary currently being produced on banking frauds in Ireland and related legal issues. The production is examining several matters where questions have been raised about the conduct of financial institutions, their legal representatives and the Irish judiciary.

As part of our research, we identified an anonymous allegation online (that we researched) asserting that a particular unnamed male judge of the High Court continues to attend at their former law firm's offices, following the court's daily sittings and the implication is that this person retains both an interest in and an active role in the firm's affairs. The same source is further implying that this judge is potentially influencing or directing the firm's activities and is perhaps maintaining associations with former clients and former professional associates that are incompatible with judicial office.

We undertook to identify High Court Judges who came from private practice, and we have identified a number of judges who fit these criteria. A former partner of XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX is one of such judges, namely Mr xxxxxx XXXXXXXX. Given the seriousness of these anonymous claims and their potential implications for both the independence of the judiciary and the reputation of your firm, it is necessary to seek a formal clarification from you directly.

We have noted also that XXXXXXXX's key clients are listed as: The Governor and Company of the Bank of Ireland, AIB plc, Permanent TSB (PTSB) plc, former Ulster Bank / RBS, Royal Bank of Scotland Group, NatWest Group, Danske Bank, HSBC, Citibank / Citibank Europe plc, First Trust Bank, Santander, and Wells Fargo, Coöperatieve Rabobank U.A., European Investment Bank (EIB), Deutsche Bank AG (London Branch), Société Générale, Stripe, Numis, and Tanager DAC. We note also that your firm acts for certain of the "alleged delegates" of Ulster Bank Ireland DAC – the various Promontoria entities (Promontoria Aran, by way of example).

We have also noted that it is on the public record that Mr Justice xxxxx XXXXXXXX has adjudicated on cases involving multiple Irish and international banks and/or financial entities holding Irish loan portfolios since his appointment. We are sending through a list of questions for your review and comment, as your perspective would help ensure the accuracy and balance of the material that we are developing.

You are therefore requested to:

- (i) confirm whether Mr Justice xxxx XXXXXXXX has attended or indeed continues to attend, at the offices of XXXXXXXX xxxxxxx since his appointment to the High Court in July 2023 for any purpose ***other than occasional and irregular social or personal contact***, and if so, to identify the dates, purposes, and context of such attendances
- (ii) confirm whether Mr Justice XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX has had any direct contact with any of these XXXXXXXX key banking and non-banking clients publicly listed or any other clients
- (iii) identify whether any of the XXXXXXXX partners or associates are aware of cases in which Mr Justice XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX has adjudicated in relation to a matter for any client of XXXXXXXX including any of those referenced above and if so, on how many occasions
- (iv) identify whether the outcome was favourable for any XXXXXXXX client in which Mr Justice XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX adjudicated – and by favourable this is not limited to whether the XXXXXXXX client had a victory – it also encompasses litigation losses that were in fact favourable to the client by limiting the client’s exposure to financial loss
- (v) **confirm whether XXXXXXXX currently holds, administers, or maintains any financial interest, shareholding, profit entitlement, or deferred payment on behalf of Mr Justice XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX**
- (vi) confirm whether any of xxxxxxxxx’s client matters, internal discussions, or strategic decisions have involved, been referred to, or been influenced by Mr Justice XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX directly or indirectly since his judicial appointment
- (vii) outline what internal measures, protocols, or ethical safeguards are in place to ensure clear separation between your firm and Mr Justice XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX since his appointment to judicial office and to indicate when such procedures were last reviewed or reaffirmed
- (viii) whether XXXXXXXX has ever notified the Courts Service, Judicial Council or any regulatory or oversight body of any continuing connections or possible perceived conflicts of interest in relation to Mr Justice XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX
- (ix) clarify whether XXXXXXXX’s name has been used by Mr Justice XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX in any correspondence, professional activity, or representation since his appointment to the High Court

- (x) whether XXXXXXXXX has ever been notified of the fraudulent practices of any Irish regulated bank and their alleged assignees; the matters as set out in the recently issued BankConfidential Report and what internal measures, if any, were taken on foot of such notification, (see link after (xi) below)and
- (xi) whether Mr Justice XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX has family members or relatives that work at XXXXXXXXX.

**The Largest Fraud Anywhere**

<https://bankconfidential.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/11/BC-Hidden-Credit-Lines-The-Largest-Fraud-Anywhere-Ever.pdf>

You are requested to provide a written statement of truth addressing each of the above matters and confirming the accuracy and completeness of your firm's position, using the following attestation:

"The contents of this statement are true to the best of my knowledge and belief."

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Name:           xxxxxx xxxxx Managing Partner, xxxxx xxxxx LLP

Date:            30 December 2025

***Your response should be received no later than close of business on 30 December 2025.***

Failure to provide any response within this period and assuming the other relevant law firm(s) provide an adequate response - the non response will be treated as acceptance that the allegation made online relates to Mr Justice XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX, formerly ofxxxxxxx and this matter will be referred externally for investigation to key international organisations monitoring systemic issues related to judicial integrity and anti-corruption standards.

If you would like to participate on camera in the documentary, please let us know in your response and we will let the production team know as they will make the final decision.

Yours sincerely,

Martin O'Cianain