

Hiding of Witness and Misrepresentation of Case¹

Yes, my **former solicitor** was apparently captured on tape falsely stating that I was engaged in a legal action entirely different from the type of action in which I was engaged, thereby **attempting to turn away a witness willing to support my contention of widespread regulatory capture** as regards the @centralbank_ie

A further supportive witness attended a lengthy meeting with my former solicitor - the very fact that a meeting had been arranged, was actually held for over an hour and the topics discussed therein were **completely concealed from me**.

It required a **sworn affidavit** from said witness to be delivered in order for my former solicitor to eventually concede he had met with this individual.

Above conduct is fundamentally an abuse of the legal process.

However, the stakes were high and the fabricated report about me (authored by Mr Justice Senan Allen) needed to be propped up at all costs - **to conceal the banks'** (+ it seems GE Woodchester etc) **"trading while insolvent"** period, the Ulster Bank Fixed Rate Loan / Swap frauds & not least to protect Allen's record on the bench from 2018 - about which evidence continues to pour in, showing such a **disregard for the rule of law** - that it further undermines our judiciary each day that this is not addressed.

Our citizens must face impartial & independent adjudicators.

Instead, they may face - in summary:

Mr Justice Senan Allen has

- disregarded consumer protections & EU law on unfair terms;
- allowed receivers to breach court orders to gain repossessions (unlawfully);
- failed to recuse himself when clearly conflicted (Irish Water & as he failed to do as a barrister in quasi-judicial role);
- failed to understand that a bank customer has been defrauded through derivative sales - by permitting defective discovery - to the immense financial advantage of the bank and immense financial decimation of the customers;
- found in favour of a bank as to do otherwise (in his own words) would place a difficult compliance burden upon them;

¹ Copied from a post on X on 27 October by @Mlorm, in response to another post. The addition of bold or bullets (replacing an *) in this copy were made for presentation purposes by www.irldefrauded.com

- allowed bank customers' unencumbered assets to be easily secured by a bank, using the "you borrowed the money" mantra;
- participated in the arbitrary restriction of lay litigants' court advocacy time;
- refused access to digital audio recordings and transcripts (  ) -

[Note: in my case, Allen **sought to interfere with transcripts** as he needed to **conceal** certain of **his own statements** - such as, that he **agreed with me** that the Joint Committee should NOT be questioning witnesses without having considered the compelled evidence; that he had **badgered me** to withdraw my disclosures; & that he had **actively misled me on my own evidence**, until I could present the evidence to him, demonstrating his own falsehoods.]

Allen is not alone and one must consider who really is deftly batting away credible judicial complaints and if they truly comprehend the **devastation wrought by such activities in court**.