

BARRISTER LIED BEFORE JUDGE

QUESTIONS SHARED WITH MR xxxxxx XXXXXXXXXXXX BL

(MR XXXXXXXXXXXX IS THE BARRISTER AT THE IRISH LAW LIBRARY ORIGINALLY ENGAGED TO REPRESENT MS XXXXXXXXXXXX AS A “GDPR EXPERT” BUT THEN REPRESENTED MS XXXXXXXXXXXX IN HER MOTION TO COME OFF RECORD EITHER AT THE DIRECTION OF MS XXXXXXXXXXXX OR MS Sxxxxx XXXXXXXXXXXX OF xxxxx xxxxxx, SOLICITORS)

1. It is our understanding that you were engaged by Ms Xxxxxxxx to represent Ms Xxxxxxxx in or around October 2023. Please confirm that our understanding is correct.
2. In respect of Ms Xxxxxxxx, Ms Xxxxxxxx referred to you as a “GDPR Expert” as Ms Xxxxxxxx had instructed Ms Xxxxxxxx to challenge the Senan Allen Report. Please confirm that this is correct.
3. Ms Xxxxxxxx was told by Ms Xxxxxxxx that you were “*honoured and privileged*” to work on such a significant case. Please confirm that this is correct.
4. Turning to Ms Xxxxxxxx’s Motion to Come off Record that was scheduled for 11 March 2024 – in advance of that Motion, do you know why Ms Xxxxxxxx refused to file Ms xxxxxx’ Affidavit?
5. Did you appear on 11 March 2024 at the direction of Ms Xxxxxxxx or Ms Xxxxxxxx?
6. Were you instructed by Ms Xxxxxxxx or Ms Xxxxxxxx to claim that Ms Xxxxxxxx’ Replying Affidavit was “irrelevant” and therefore should not be filed? Or was this your own assessment?
7. How could you make a determination as to the relevance of an Affidavit? Is this not a matter for the Judge?
8. Mr Justice Paul Coffey permitted Ms Xxxxxxxx to file her Replying Affidavit as if she was litigant in person. Do you disagree with this decision of Mr Justice Coffey?
9. Turning to your appearance at the rescheduled Motion to Come off Record on 17 June 2024, why did you make an assumption that the Judge had read all papers?
10. At the hearing of the Motion, why did you mislead the Judge by stating that Ms Xxxxxxxx received a section 150 solicitor/client engagement letter in November 2023? Who provided you with the direction to mislead the Judge or did you decide to do so of your own volition?
11. You were on notice of Ms Xxxxxxxx’ Replying Affidavit that we have reviewed and this states that the section 150 letter was actually sent 12 January, 2024 at approx. 6:50pm by C.M. Xxxxxxxx & Co., Solicitors. Please explain why you ignored this fact and tried to create a false impression that Ms Xxxxxxxx was stalling over signing the s150 letter? Was this because you had other information and knew that the reasons for Ms Xxxxxxxx coming off record were contrived?

12. You made an application for legal costs at the conclusion of the hearing. Who provided you with that direction to make an application for costs or did you do so of your own volition? Upon what basis did you believe it was appropriate to make such an application? Please explain in some detail.
13. Are you aware of any communication that has taken place between members of any government department, members of the civil service (including the Office of the Parliamentary Legal Advisers) and/or members of the Bar of Ireland, the Law Society of Ireland or the Legal Services Regulatory Authority - to or with Ms XXXXXXXXX/ Ms XXXXXXXX or you in regard to the representation of Ms XXXXXXXXX and Ms XXXXXXXX's representation of Ms XXXXXXXXX in relation to her breaches of GDPR?
14. Are you aware of any communication that has taken place between Ms XXXXXXXXX and other Solicitors or Counsel or between you and other Solicitors or Counsel that may have unduly influenced Ms XXXXXXXXX or you, such that a decision to abruptly withdraw legal representation from Ms XXXXXXXXX was taken together with an instruction that this should be executed without speaking with Ms XXXXXXXXX?
15. The Bank frauds that were concealed with the assistance of a wholly inadequate Banking Inquiry and facilitated by several bank executives providing false testimony to the Stormont hearings held on XYZ date have resulted in at least approximately 3000 suicides in Northern Ireland alone up until 2014. Do you have any comment?
16. Finally, do you have any conflict of interest to declare that was previously not declared at the time Ms XXXXXXXXX engaged you to represent Ms XXXXXXXXX?

No response was received.

Name of participants above will be shared with documentary makers and journalists